arXiv:1609.06180v1 [math.RA] 16 Sep 2016

FINITE HOMOGENEOUS EFFECT ALGEBRAS WITH TRIVIAL SHARP ELEMENTS

G. BIŃCZAK[1] AND J. KALETA[2]

Abstract. In this paper we describe finite homogeneous effect algebras whose sharp elements are only 0 and 1.

1. Introduction

Effect algebras have been introduced by Foulis and Bennet in 1994 (see [2]) for the study of foundations of quantum mechanics (see [1]). Independtly, Chovanec and Kôpka introduced an essentially equivalent structure called *D-poset* (see [4]). Another equivalent structure was introduced by Giuntini and Greuling in [3]).

In this paper we partially solved (see Corollary 2.4) the following Open Problem (see [5]):

Problem 10.1 (G. Jenča). Prove or disprove: if E is an orthocomplete homogeneous effect algebra E such that $S(E)$ is a lattice, then E is a lattice effect algebra.

Let E be an effect algebra, $x, y \in E$ then the set $\{z \in E\colon x \leq z \leq y\}$ we denote by $[x, y]$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let E be a homogeneous effect algebra, $a \in E$ be an atom such that $a \leq a'$. If $v_1, v_2 \in E$, $v_1 \perp v_2$ and $v_1 \oplus v_2 \in [a, a']$ then $a \leq v_1$ or $a \leq v_2$.*

Proof. Let $a \leq v_1 \oplus v_2 \leq a'$. Then there exist $u_1, u_2 \in E$ such that $u_1 \leq v_1$, $u_2 \leq v_2$ and $u_1 \oplus u_2 = a$ since E is homogeneous. Moreover $0 \leq u_1 \leq u_1 \oplus u_2 = a$ and $0 \leq u_2 \leq u_1 \oplus u_2 = a$, so $u_1, u_2 \in \{0, a\}$ since a is an atom. We know that $0 \oplus 0 = 0 \neq a$ and $a \oplus a \neq a$, hence ($u_1 = 0$ and $u_2 = a$) or ($u_2 = 0$ and $u_1 = a$). Therefore $a = u_1 \leq v_1$ or $a = u_2 \leq v_2$. □

Lemma 1.2. *Let E be an effect algebra and $x \in E$ then $x \notin S(E) \iff$ there exists $b \in E$ such than $b \neq 0$, $b \leq b'$ and $x \in [b, b']$.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81P10, 81P15.

Key words and phrases. effect algebras, sharp elements, homogeneous effect algebras.

Proof. If $x \notin S(E)$ then 0 is not the greatest lower bound of the set $\{x, x'\}$, so there exists $b \neq 0$ such that $b \leq x$ and $b \leq x'$ hence $b \leq x \leq b'$. Therefore $b \neq 0$, $b \leq b'$ and $x \in [b, b']$.

If there exist $b \in E$ such than $b \neq 0$, $b \leq b'$ and $x \in [b, b']$ then $b \leq x \leq b'$ so $b \leq x$ and $b \leq x'$ and $b \neq 0$ hence $x \wedge x' \neq 0$ and $x \notin S(E)$.

□

Lemma 1.3. *Let E be an effect algebra and $x \in E$. If x is an atom and $x \notin S(E)$ then $x \leq x'$.*

Proof. Let $x \in E$ be an atom and $x \notin S(E)$. By Lemma1.2 there exist $b \in E$ such that $b \neq 0$, $b \leq b'$ and $x \in [b, b']$. so $0 \leq b \leq x$ hence $b = x$ (since x is an atom and $b \neq 0$) so $x \leq x'$. □

Lemma 1.4. *Let E be a finite effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$ then*

$$E = \{0, 1\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} [a_n, a_n'],$$

where $\{a_1, \ldots a_k\}$ is the set of all atoms of E.

Proof. By Lemma 1.3 $a_i \leq a_i'$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Let $x \in E$ and $x \notin \{0, 1\}$ then $x \notin S(E)$. By Lemma1.2 there exist $b \in E$ such that $b \neq 0$ and $x \in [b, b']$. There exist $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_i \leq b$ since E is finite. Hence

$$a_i \leq b \leq x \leq b' \leq a_i'$$

so $x \in [a_i, a_i']$. □

Lemma 1.5. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E.*

If $na_i = \underbrace{a_i \oplus \ldots \oplus a_i}_{n-times}$ is well defined ($n \geq 1$) and $na_i \in [a_j, a_j']$ then $i = j$.

Proof. (by induction with respect to n): If $1a_i = a_i \in [a_j, a_j']$ then $0 \leq a_j \leq a_i$ so $a_i = a_j$ since a_i is an atom. Therefore $i = j$ and this ends proof for $n = 1$.

Suppose that this lemma is true for n, $(n+1)a_i$ is well defined and $(n+1)a_i = na_i \oplus a_i \in [a_j, a_j']$. By Lemma 1.1 $a_j \leq a_i$ or $a_j \leq na_i$.

If $0 \leq a_j \leq a_i$ then $a_i = a_j$ since a_i is an atom, so $i = j$.

If $a_j \leq na_i$ then $a_j \leq na_i \leq (n+1)a_i \leq a_j'$ so $na_i \in [a_j, a_j']$ and $i = j$ since lemma is true for n. Therefore lemma holds for $n + 1$. □

Lemma 1.6. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. If $n \geq 1$ and na_i is well defined then $na_i = 1$ or $na_i \in [a_i, a_i']$.*

Proof. If na_i is well defined and $na_i \neq 1$ then $na_i \geq a_i > 0$ so $na_i \notin \{0, 1\} = S(E)$ and by Lemma1.4 $na_i \in [a_j, a_j']$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ by Lemma1.5 $i = j$ so $na_i \in [a_i, a_i']$. □

Lemma 1.7. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ there exists $n \in N$ such that $a_i' = na_i$*

Proof. Let $A = \{x \in E \colon \exists_{n \in N, n \geq 1} na_i$ is well defined and $x = na_i\}$. Then A is not empty since $a_i \in A$. Let $x_0 = na_i$ be a maximal element in A. By Lemma1.6 $na_i = 1$ or $na_i \in [a_i, a_i']$.

If $na_i \in [a_i, a_i']$ then $na_i \leq a_i'$ so $(n+1)a_i$ is well defined and $x_0 = na_i < (n+1)a_i \in A$ (since $n \geq 1$) and we obtain a contradiction with maximality of x_0. Hence $na_i = 1$ and $(n-1)a_i \oplus a_i = na_i = 1$ so $a_i' = (n-1)a_i$. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ and suppose that na_i is well defined. If $[0, na_i] \neq \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$ then there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_j \in [0, na_i]$ and $a_i \neq a_j$.*

Proof. (by induction with respect to n): If $n = 1$ then $[0.a_i] = \{0, a_i\}$ since a_i is an atom.

Suppose that this lemma is true for n and $[0, (n+1)a_i] \neq \{0, a_i, \ldots, (n+1)a_i\}$.

If $[0, na_i] \neq \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$ then (since lemma is true for n) there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_j \in [0, na_i]$ and $a_i \neq a_j$ so $a_j \leq na_i \leq (n+1)a_i$ and $a_j \in [0, (n+1)a_i]$ hence lemma is true for $n+1$.

If $[0, na_i] = \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$ then every minimal element of $A = [0, (n+1)a_i] \setminus \{0, a_i, \ldots, (n+1)a_i\}$ is an atom:

Let x_0 be a minimal element in A, $0 \leq y \leq x_0$ and $y \neq 0$. We prove that $y = x_0$:

If $a_i \leq x_0$ then $x_0 \in [a_i, (n+1)a_i]$ but there is an order isomorphism between $[0, na_i]$ and $[a_i, (n+1)a_i]$ $(z \mapsto z \oplus a_i)$ and $[0, na_i] = \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$ so $x \in [a_i, (n+1)a_i] = \{a_i, \ldots, (n+1)a_i\}$ hence $x_0 \notin A$ and we obtain a contradiction.

Therefore $a_i \not\leq x_0$. We show that $y \in A$. We have $y \in [0, (n+1)a_i]$ since $y \leq x \leq (n+1)a_i$ and $y \neq ma_i$ for $m = 1, \ldots, n+1$ because if $y = ma_i$ then $a_i \leq ma_i = y \leq x_0$ a contradiction since $a_i \not\leq x_0$ hence

$y \in A$. Therefore $y = x_0$ since x_0 is a minimal element of A. Hence x_0 is an atom and there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $x_0 = a_j$ and $a_j = x_0 \neq a_i$ since $x_0 \in A$ □

2. Main Theorem

Theorem 2.1. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ and suppose that na_i is well defined. If $na_i \leq a_i'$ then $[0, na_i] = \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$.*

Proof. Assume that $[0, na_i] = \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$. By Lemma 1.8 there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $a_j \in [0, na_i]$ and $a_i \neq a_j$. Let $A = \{(r, w) \in N^2 \colon ra_i \oplus wa_j \text{ is well defined }\}$.

We know that $a_j \leq na_i \leq a_i'$ so $a_j \leq a_i'$ and $a_j \perp a_i$ hence $a_i \oplus a_j$ is well defined and $(1, 1) \in A$ so A is not empty and is finite (since E is finite). Then there exists a maximal element (r_0, w_0) in A such that $r_0 \geq 1$ and $w_0 \geq 1$. Hence $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j$ is well defined, $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \not\leq a_i'$ and $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \not\leq a_j'$. Now we consider two cases

(1) $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j = 1$. By Lemma 1.7 there exists $w_1 \in N$ such that $w_1a_j = a_j'$ and $(w_1 + 1)a_j = 1$ so wa_j is not well defined for $w > w_1 + 1$ but w_0a_j is well defined hence $w_0 \leq w_1 + 1$. Consider 2 subcases:
 (a) $w + 0 = w_1 + 1$ then $w_0a_j = 1$ and $r_0a_1 \oplus 1$ is well defined so $r_0a_i = 0$ and $a_i = 0$ a contradiction since a_i is an atom.
 (b) $w_0 \leq w_1$ by Lemma 1.7 there exists $r_1 \in N$ such that $r_1a_j = a_j'$ and $(r_1 + 1)a_i = 1$. If $r_0a_i = 1$ then $1 \oplus w_0a_j$ is well defined (since $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j$ is well defined) so $w_0a_j = 0$ and $a_j = 0$ a contradiction since a_i is an atom. Hence $r_0a_i \neq 1$ and $r_0 \leq r_1$ thus $r_0a_i \leq r_1a_i = a_i'$. We know that $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j = 1$ so $r_0a_i = (w_0a_j)' = (w_1 + 1 - w_0)a_j \in [a_i, a_i']$ and $w_1 + 1 - w_0 \geq 1$ since $w_0 \leq w_1$. By Lemma 1.5 $i = j$ and $a_i = a_j$. We obtain a a contradiction since $a_i \neq a_j$.

(2) $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \neq 1$. By Lemma 1.4 there exists $s \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \in [a_s, a_s']$. By Lemma 1.1 $a_s \leq r_0a_i$ or $a_s \leq w_0a_j$.
 (a) If $a_s \leq r_0a_i$ then $a_s \leq r_0a_i \leq r_0a_0 \oplus w_0a_j \leq a_s'$ and $r_0a_i \in [a_s, a_s']$. By Lemma 1.5 $s = i$ hence $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \leq a_i'$ a contradintion since $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \not\leq a_i'$.
 (b) If $a_s \leq w_0a_j$ then $a_s \leq w_0a_j \leq r_0a_0 \oplus w_0a_j \leq a_s'$ and $w_0a_j \in [a_s, a_s']$. By Lemma 1.5 $s = j$ hence $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \leq a_j'$ a contradintion since $r_0a_i \oplus w_0a_j \not\leq a_j'$.

Hence $[0, na_i] = \{0, a_i, 2a_i, \ldots, na_i\}$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. If $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, $x \in [a_i, a_i']$, $y \in [a_j, a_j']$ and $i \neq j$ then $x \not\leq y$ and $[a_i, a_i'] \cap [a_j, a_j'] = \varnothing$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.7 there exist $n, m \in N$ such that $a_i' = na_i$ and $a_j' = ma_j$. Assume that $z \in [a_i, a_i'] \cap [a_j, a_j']$ then $z \in [0, na_i]$ so by Theorem 2.1 $z = ra_i$ for some $r \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$ hence $ra_i \in [a_j, a_j']$ and by Lemma 1.5 we have $i = j$. Therefore $[a_i, a_i'] \cap [a_j, a_j'] = \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$.

Suppose that $x \in [a_i, a_i']$, $y \in [a_j, a_j']$ and $x \leq y$. Then $a_i \leq x \leq y \leq a_j' = ma_j$ so $a_i \in [0, ma_j]$. By Theorem 2.1 there exists $s \in \{0, \ldots, m\}$ such that $a_i = sa_j$. If $s = 0$ then $a_i = 0$ a contradiction since a_i is an atom. If $s \geq 1$ then $a_i = sa_j \geq a_j \geq 0$ so $a_i = a_j$ since a_i is an atom. Thus $i = j$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra such that $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$. Then E is isomorphic to horizontal sum of chains.*

Proof. Let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$ be the set of all atoms of E. By Theorem 2.1 $[a_i, a_i']$ is a chain. By Corollary 2.2 $[a_i, a_i'] \cap [a_j, a_j'] = \varnothing$ for $i \neq j$ and if $x \in [a_i, a_i']$, $y \in [a_j, a_j']$ and $i \neq j$ then $x \not\leq y$ so by Lemma 1.2 $E = \{0, 1\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} [a_i, a_i']$ is isomorphic to horizontal sum of chains. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let E be a finite homogeneous effect algebra. If $S(E) = \{0, 1\}$ then E is a lattice.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3 E is isomorphic to horizontal sum of chains so E is a lattice. □

References

[1] Dvurečenskij A., Pulmannová New Trends in Quantum Structures, Kluwer Academic Publ./Ister Science, Dordrecht-Boston-London/Bratislava, 2000.
[2] Foulis D. J., Bennett M. K. Effect Algebras and Unsharp quantum Logics, Foundations of Physics. **24**, No. 10, 1994, 1331-1351.
[3] Giuntini R., Grueuling H., Toward a formal language for unsharp properties, Found. Phys, **19**, 1994, 769-780.
[4] Kôpka F., Chovanec F., *D*-posets, Math. Slovaca, **44**, 1994, 21-34.
[5] Mesiar R., Stupňanová Open problems from the 12th International Conference on Fuzzy Set Theory and Its Applications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. **261** (2015) 112-123

[1] Faculty of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Warsaw University of Technology, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland

[2] Department of Applied Mathematics, Warsaw University of Agriculture, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland

E-mail address: [1] binczak@mini.pw.edu.pl, [2]joanna_kaleta@sggw.pl